



SPW

PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 041993-5227

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Soon-Young PARK et al.)	Confirmation No. 3821
)	
Application No.: 10/673,144)	Group Art Unit: 2871
)	
Filed: September 30, 2003)	Examiner: D. Nguyen
)	
For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE)	
AND METHOD FOR REMOVING)	
RESIDUAL CHARGE)	

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In a Restriction Requirement and Election of Species Requirement dated March 24, 2005, Applicants provide the following responses.

Applicants hereby elect Specie A that includes a liquid crystal display (LCD) and a method for removing residual charge for LCD in which a pathway of the residual charge flows includes drive circuitry of the source driver (claims 21 and 24), as identified by the Requirement.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Election of Species Requirement because the Election of Species Requirement indicated only claims 20 and 23 as being generic. Applicants respectfully assert that claims 1-20 and 23 are generic to each of the Species A and B, as identified by the Requirement.

In addition, Applicants respectfully assert that the Specie groupings identified by the Requirement are improper. Specifically, Applicants respectfully assert that claims are

never species, as instructed by MPEP 806.04(e). Thus, Applicants respectfully assert that the Requirement fails to establish any proper grounds for requiring election.

As noted in the Office Action, upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. Accordingly, upon allowance of at least generic claims 1-20 and 23, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims 22 and 25 that correspond to Species A and B, as identified by the Requirement.


Applicants respectfully requests formal examination of this application.

Except for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: _____


David B. Hardy
Reg. No. 47, 362

Dated: April 25, 2005

Customer Number 009629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: 202.739.3000
Fax: 202.739.3001